

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/689,017	1	0/12/2000	James A. Salomon	F-152	6163
919	7590	08/01/2003			
PITNEY B		· - ·	EXAMINER		
35 WATERVIEW DRIVE P.O. BOX 3000				AZARIAN, SEYED H	
MSC 26-22 SHELTON, CT 06484-8000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				2625	\cap
				DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/689,017	SALOMON, JAMES A.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Seyed Azarian	2625						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 (<u> October 2000</u> .							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	100 O.G. 210.						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	١.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		h. the Francisco						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2000</u> is/are:		•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		oved by the Examiner.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 								
Attachment(s)	_							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						
.S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/689,017

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore (U.S. patent 5,917,925) in view of Baker et al (U.S. patent 5,862,243).

Regarding claim 1, Moore discloses a system for dispensing, verifying and tracking postage and other information on mailpieces, comprising the step of:

- (a) Providing one or more error compensation marks in the proximity of the symbology region such that the error compensation marks are caused to exhibit changes indicative of the defect of the symbology region (column 19, lines 6-24, detection step using camera and marking error occurs).
- (b) detecting the changes in the error compensation marks in order to compensate for the defect of the symbology region (and column 12, lines 5-19, the illuminated symbol is captured by the camera and column 23, lines 32-42, mail piece package module has received its indicia marking also column 11, line 64 through column 12, line 5, refer to error).

by evaluation and generating an image of a barcode (or symbology) on the mail piece.

However Moore is silent about "defect of the symbology". On the other hand Baker et al in the same field of mail processing teaches column 2, lines 6-14, a defected state is determined

Page 3

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to modify Moore invention according to the teaching of Baker et al because it provides evaluation system to evaluate the image and identify a visual defect marker corresponding to the ZIP code which improve efficiency of the time and enhancement of image.

Regarding claim 2, Moore discloses the method, wherein the symbology region includes an OCR character region (column 23, lines 38-45, CCD camera).

Regarding claim 3, Moore discloses a method the method, wherein the error compensation marks include a plurality of timing marks for identifying the defect of the OCR character region caused by irregularities in transport of the mail piece through the indicium printing device (column 11, line 63 through column 12, line 5, error signal is activated to alert the operator).

Regarding claim 4, Moore discloses the method, wherein the irregularities are the result of a mismatch between transport velocity for transporting the mail piece and timing signals of the printing device (column 14, line 64, through column 15, line 9, refer to time and printer).

Regarding claim 5, Moore discloses the method, wherein the print device includes a print head having a plurality of inkjet nozzles, and wherein the irregularities are the result of a misalignment of the transport mechanism with the print head, causing the inkjet nozzles to appear skewed relative to a transport direction of the transport mechanism (column 21, lines 1-11, indicia marks to the mail pieces uses a typical ink jet printer).

Application/Control Number: 09/689,017

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 6, Moore discloses the method, wherein the irregularities are the result of an uneven surface section of the mail piece (column 13, lines 11-19, result of marking the mail pieces can be scanned).

Regarding claim 7, Moore discloses the method, wherein the error compensation marks include at least one graphic image for identifying the defect of the OCR character region caused by irregularities in the postage indicium producing device (column 20, lines 13-21, refer to graphic symbols).

Regarding claim 11, Moore discloses the method, wherein the symbology region includes an OCR character region and the symbols include OCR characters (column 11, line 59 through column 12, line 4, indicia symbol is being printed and refer to CCD camera).

Regarding claim 12, Moore discloses the system, wherein the second mechanism includes an optical scanner and the data includes a scanned image (column 24, line 55-60, CCD camera 94).

Regarding claim 13, Moore discloses the system, wherein the third mechanism includes an image processing algorithm to compare the scanned image with a fixed set of graphical information in order to detect changes in the error compensation marks (column 23, lines 57-64, compare the captured image).

Regarding claim 14, Moore discloses the system further comprising an OCR reader, operatively connected to the optical scanner, for recognizing the OCR characters (Fig. 6. column 24, lines 14-21, CCD camera and connection to central processing).

Regarding claim 15, Moore discloses the system, wherein the OCR reader is operatively connected to the third mechanism to compensate for the defect in the OCR characters, according

Application/Control Number: 09/689,017

Art Unit: 2625

to the detected changes in the error compensation marks (column 13, lines 55-61, the filed reader to obtain validation).

Regarding claims 8 and 9, recite similar limitation as claim 5 and is similarly analyzed.

Regarding claim 10, recite similar limitation as claim 1 and is similarly analyzed.

Other prior art cited

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. patent (5,765,475) to Salomon is cited for hybrid printing postage printer.
- U.S. patent (4,775,246) to Edelmann et al is cited for system for detecting unaccounted for printing in a value printing system.
- U.S. patent (5,427,025) to Lee et al is cited for complint platen for high speed flat bed printer.
- U.S. patent (4,725,718) to Sansone et al is cited for postage and mailing information applying system.
 - U.S. patent (4,873,645) to Hunter et al is cited for secure postage dispensing system.
- U.S. patent (5,154,118) to Doery et al is cited for automatic settable data printing apparatus.

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seyed Azarian whose telephone number is (703) 306-5907.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,017

Art Unit: 2625

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached at (703) 308-5246.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, ("draft" or "informal" communications should be clearly labeled to expedite delivery to examiner).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to T.C. customer service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Seyed Azarian Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2625

June 29, 20

Jayanti K. Patel Primary Examiner